

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

BASF AGRO B.V., ARNHEM (NL),
WÄDENSWIL BRANCH,
BAYER S.A.S., and MERIAL LIMITED,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CHEMINOVA, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 10-cv-274

[PROPOSED] ORDER

THIS MATTER came before the Court upon a joint motion for an Amended Scheduling Order in this case. Being fully apprised in the premises, the Court finds that good cause supports the joint motion and it is **HEREBY GRANTED**. This Amended Scheduling Order does not amend the Supplemental Scheduling Order regarding the process patents filed on July 1, 2011 [D.I. 153] and all dates set forth therein remain in effect. Unless otherwise ordered, the case schedule will proceed as follows:

EVENT	DEADLINE
Supplemental expert reports, if any, in response to <i>Markman</i> Order on Use Patents	July 12, 2011
Supplemental expert depositions, if any, in response to <i>Markman</i> Order on Use Patents	July 19, 2011
Modified summary judgment motions, if any, in response to <i>Markman</i> Order on Use Patents	July 25, 2011

EVENT	DEADLINE
Responses to modified summary judgment motions, if any, on Use Patents	August 4, 2011
Replies to modified summary judgment motion, if any, on Use Patents	August 9, 2011
Commencement of trial on patent liability issues	October trial calendar, with trial to be scheduled prior to trial in 10-cv-276, and as soon on or after September 6, 2011 as Court's schedule may allow, on four weeks advance notice of trial setting

SO ORDERED this the _____ day of _____, 2011.
